

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virgina 22313-1450 www.spile.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/863,139	05/22/2001	Roy F. Quick JR.	010055B1	1058
23696 OUALCOMM	7590 01/08/2009 INCORPORATED	EXAMINER		
5775 MOREH SAN DIEGO.	OUSE DR.		MOORTHY, ARAVIND K	
SAN DIEGO,	CA 92121		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2431	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/08/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com nanm@qualcomm.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/863,139		QUICK ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Aravind K. Moorthy	2431		

	Aravind K. Moortny	2431					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 19 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 or CR13,13 or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the stututory period for reply expires the trans DIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) roby raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise new issue of new matter (see NOTE bolo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	TE below);					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE:			,				
7. Xi For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims ould be rejected is provided that the provided in		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail: se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER The request for reconsideration has been considered because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
	/Christopher A. Revak/						

/Christopher A. Revak/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2431

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: On page 14, the applicant argues that Jobst discloses that the primary signature is not generated based on the private key but is merely encrypted using the private key. The examiner respectfully disagrees. The signature is generated using the same RSA algorithm using private key 64. On page 15, the applicant argues that the primary signature of Jobst cannot be based on the secondary signature. The examiner agrees. However, what is claimed is that the secondary signature is received from the mobile station. It is not claimed that the primary signature is based on the secondary signature (i.e. claim 17). On page 15, the applicant argues that the primary signature of Jobst does not authenticate the subscriber. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Jobst discloses that based on the binary code 47 (the code image) and the phone password 45 the phone 1 starts to calculate a second signature 46 (sig2'). The stored Phone Password 45 is put into the beginning and the end of a binary string having the binary code 47 (the code image) of the file received in the middle, For this purpose the binary string is inputted to the very same signature generating algorithm 42 as used by the software provider 33 for calculating the first signature 43 (sig2). When the second signature 46 has been calculated the phone 1 compares this calculated second signature 46 with the first signature 43 received by the response message 41. If these two signatures 43 and 46 fit together that is are identical the phone 1 deems the response message to be coming from an authorized software provider having access to the Master Password 38. Therefor the phone 1 deems the received code image to be authentic and starts to transfer the down loaded code 31 to the MT software. If the authentication has failed the downloaded software would automatically have been deleted. The applicant argues that Jobst does not discloses authenticating a subscriber or user. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Claim 17 recites authenticating a subscriber and not a user. The phone belongs to a user. When the phone gets authenticated, then a user essentially is getting authenticated in the process.